

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/069,282	02/25/2002	Shin-ichi Kaiho	КАІНО=3	KAIHO=3 1523	
1444	7590 05/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			BADIO, BARBARA P		
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303		1617			
		DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/069,282		KAIHO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D.	1617	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D.	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS		·	·				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b)☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c)☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	•	jected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		4:					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	ilowable il submilled in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ient canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5,8,11-13,18,19,21 and 24-27</u> .		,					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3,4,9,10,14-17,2	<u>0,22 and 23</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8 The affidavit or other evidence filed efter a final action by	it before or on the date of filing a N	lation of Ammont will m					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	e date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	y and was not earlier presented. S	See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	;hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	(
	(Barbara P. Badio, F Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1617	foodie				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 05232005

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance. It would require further search and consideration of the claimed invention.

Continuation of 13. Other: The examiner notes applicant's argument that a previous amendment had deleted compounds having a double bond. However, search of the claimed invention was extended to include saturated compounds (see paragraph #14 of the previous Office Action).